ST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT CO	OOPERATION TREATY
From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
To: Patenttitoimisto Kari Pirhonen Oy P.O. Box 71 FIN-20101 TURKU FINLAND	PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 13 -04- 2005
Applicant's or agent's file reference P1966	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
PCT/IB2004/003433 20.10.20	120.2005
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national B03C 1/24, B03C 1/28, G01N 33	l classification and IPC 3/543
Applicant Bio-Nobile Oy et al	
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule applicability; citations and explain Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the internation Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internation	with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability e 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial lanations supporting such statement
Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chos written opinions of this International Searching Authority of this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with	in is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the EA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an sen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that ority will not be so considered. It is a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the chamendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing months from the priority date, whichever expires later.
Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055	Authorized officer
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM	Anna Rapp / MRo

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/003433

Bo	Box No. I Basis of this opinion	
1.	which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated. This opinion has been established.	has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in ated under this item. on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3
2.	claimed invention, this opinion has been e	nino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the established on the basis of:
	a. type of material	
	a sequence listing	
	table(s) related to the sequence	ce listing
	b. format of material	
	in written format	İ
	in computer readable form	
	c. time of filing/furnishing	
	contained in the international	application as filed.
	\equiv	ational application in computer readable form.
		s Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	filed or furnished, the required s	re than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to r does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	4. Additional comments:	

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/003433

	V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	YES	
	Claims 1-2	NO NO	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES	
	Claims 1-2	NO	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-2	YES	
	Claims	NO	

2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following document: D1: WO 9626011 A1

D1 discloses an apparatus and a method for treating particles. The particles in D1 are of the kind defined as microparticles in the invention (see abstract and page 13, line 17- line 20). Mixing, separation and cleaning of the particles take place in a container without moving the particles out of said container (see esp. figures 5a-f, page 18, line 27- page 19, line 21, page 8, line 17- line 20 and page 21, line 29- line 32).

Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1 and 2 is previously known and therefore lacks novelty.